

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130607
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Challenges Faced by Adult Learners' Academic Performance in Basic Education in Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical and underexplored topic: the challenges faced by adult learners in basic education in Tanzania. It contributes to the scientific community by emphasizing the need for targeted interventions in adult education to meet Sustainable Development Goals and improve socio-economic outcomes. Its findings and recommendations are directly relevant to policymakers, educators, and international organizations focusing on inclusive education and lifelong learning.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need clear summary of the study's objectives, methodology, findings, and recommendations. However, the following adjustments are suggested: 1. Highlight the significance of the study in contributing to Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). 2. Condense the methodology section to focus on the most critical aspects, such as sample size, tools, and analysis methods. 3. Add a brief mention of specific proposed solutions, such as flexible schedules or policy changes, to balance the focus on challenges.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Plz consider adding the studies on adult education policies in other low-income countries for comparative analysis	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some sentences are overly complex and could be simplified for better readability. Examples include long sentences in the introduction and methodology sections.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gui Jun
Department, University & Country	Guangzhou Huali College, China