

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130554
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Teacher Training for Social Improvement in Education: Innovative Approaches and Best Practices
Type of the Article	Not clear

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title seems very interesting but the author did not handle it properly.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is not whether it is review or original study. I think it is a review study better the author shall mention in the title that it is a review study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Too long and meaningless	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Need extensive improvement	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Upto some extent	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>My comments are below o the whole article.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">Abstract is too long and shall be trim downIs it a review article or What ?????????? If it is a review article, then mention in the title . Also mentioned how many related studies are targetedThe overall manuscript seems just like a report not research articleI did not rationale of the studyWhat are the broad objectives of this study? Need more focusWhere Literature ReviewWhere is Theoretical studiesWhere is research GapAny conceptual FrameworkResearch Design??????????Is this Qualitative or Quantitative studyWhat about dataAny statistical analysisMethod????????????????Where are the main contribution of tis study	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farah Khan
Department, University & Country	Women University Mardan, Pakistan