

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130374
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between Self-Esteem and Academic Achievement of Senior Secondary School Students in North-Central, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important because it addresses the critical relationship between self-esteem and academic achievement, providing insights into the psychological factors influencing students' academic performance. By focusing on senior secondary school students in North-Central Nigeria, it contributes to the understanding of this dynamic in a region where cultural, socio-economic, and educational contexts are distinct and underrepresented in global research. The findings have the potential to inform educational policies, intervention programs, and strategies aimed at improving students' self-esteem and academic outcomes. Furthermore, this study can inspire similar research in other geographical regions for comparative analyses.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is descriptive and reflects the core focus of the study. However, it could be refined for brevity and impact.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should succinctly outline the study's background, objectives, methodology, key findings, and implications. But I feel attention should be given to study's background, objectives. Also, ensure that the abstract highlights the significance of the study, its unique contribution, and the potential applications of its findings. If the abstract is overly detailed, streamline it by focusing on the most critical points.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Although the manuscript is scientifically correct in terms of its research design, data analysis, and presentation of findings, it lacks a strong theoretical connection. The study would be more robust and impactful if it were grounded in a relevant psychological or educational theory, such as Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, Self-Determination Theory, or Bandura's Self-Efficacy Theory. Incorporating a theoretical framework would provide a deeper context for the research, enhance the interpretation of results, and link the findings to broader academic discourse. It is recommended that the authors explicitly align their study with an appropriate theoretical foundation to strengthen its scientific merit. Secondly, the study variables are diversely defined, operational definition of variables seems to be mandatory in such diverse phenomenon under study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the citations are not according to APA-7 th . Few highlighted with comments added in manuscript file	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear, precise, and suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript appears to address an important topic with practical implications. However, careful attention should be given to formatting especially citations and referencing, table titles and numbers, relevant theoretical background. Based on the provided guidelines and the issues identified, a score of 7.5 would be appropriate. This reflects that the manuscript has significant potential but requires revisions, particularly in addressing theoretical grounding, ethical clarity, citation, references, table notes (Upper/ Lower Case reconsideration)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rimsha Irshad
Department, University & Country	The Government Sadiq College Women University, Pakistan