

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130263
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of the Writing Characteristics of "Statistics and Probability" in Junior High School Mathematics Textbooks between China and the United States
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The analyzed publishers of each country present their strengths and their differences, with this each one can enrich each other.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Comparison of the Writing Characteristics of "Statistics and Probability" in Junior High School Mathematics Textbooks between China and the United States It is too long, 12 to 15 words are suggested	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is suggested not to name the books and publishers, for example recognizing them as L1 USA L2 China, unless they have authorization from the publishers to use their name In table 2, references should be placed below it indicating what it means. a1 a2 a3 a4Table 4 suggests the same.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes Review the way of citing since it mixes Citation rules	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dra Vivian Minnaard
Department, University & Country	UFASTA, Argentina