

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130060
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship Between Smartphone Addiction and Sleeping Disorder Among Senior High School Students
Type of the Article	Empirical

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>This article is significant for the scientific community as it highlights the growing issue of smartphone addiction and its direct impact on sleep quality among senior high school students.</li><li>By employing a descriptive-correlational design and standardized measures, the study provides robust evidence on how excessive smartphone use contributes to sleep disorders.</li><li>The findings highlight the need for interventions targeting smartphone usage habits to improve students' overall health and well-being.</li><li>Additionally, this research fills a critical gap by focusing on a specific demographic, offering valuable insights for educators, policymakers, and healthcare professionals to address the adverse effects of technology addiction.</li></ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a good overview of the study by describing its aims, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. However, it could be improved by adding additional information such as names of the tool used in this study for data collection, and highlighting the unique contribution of the study, such as filling a gap in research focused on high school students in the Philippines.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically accurate overall, as it follows a structured research methodology, uses validated instruments, and employs appropriate statistical tools to analyze the relationship between smartphone addiction and sleep disorders.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript includes a well-rounded list of references. Most references are recent, with several from 2021–2024, ensuring relevance to current scientific discussions. However, the APA format has to be followed.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article is generally clear and understandable, but it has areas that require improvement to meet the standards of scholarly communication. Below is a detailed assessment:</p> <p><b>Strengths:</b></p> <p><b>Clarity:</b> The article's purpose, methodology, and findings are communicated effectively. Sentences are generally straightforward, making the content accessible.</p> <p><b>Structure:</b> The manuscript follows a logical structure, with appropriate headings and subheadings.</p> <p><b>Areas for Improvement:</b></p> <p><b>Grammar and Syntax:</b> Some sentences are grammatically incorrect or awkwardly constructed, which can hinder readability. For instance: "Smartphones are technical devices that have a huge impact on people's daily lives, causing them to change their habits and behaviors." This sentence could be rewritten as: " Smartphones profoundly influence daily life, shaping habits and behavioral patterns."</p> <p><b>Redundancy:</b> Certain ideas are repeated unnecessarily, especially in the abstract and introduction. For example: "Smartphones are technical devices that have a huge impact on people's daily lives, causing them to change their habits and behaviors" is reiterated multiple times.</p> <p><b>Scholarly Tone:</b> The language occasionally lacks the formal tone expected in scholarly writing. For instance: Phrases like "causing them to change their habits" and "students are moderately addicted to smartphones" could be rephrased to sound more professional.</p> <p><b>Transitions:</b> Some paragraphs lack smooth transitions, making the narrative feel fragmented. Adding connecting phrases would improve flow.</p> <p><b>Use of Active Voice:</b> Passive constructions dominate the text. For instance: "The study employed a descriptive-correlation type of research." This could be rewritten as: "This study used a descriptive-correlational research design."</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	James Robert S
Department, University & Country	Christ University, India