

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129880
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Use of ChatGPT in Junior High School Mathematics Teaching
Type of the Article	Review

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript titled "Research on the Use of ChatGPT in Junior High School Mathematics Teaching" holds significant importance for the scientific community. It explores the innovative application of AI in education, particularly in enhancing the teaching and learning experience in mathematics for junior high school students. By examining the effectiveness of ChatGPT as a teaching tool, this research provides valuable insights into how AI can be integrated into educational practices to improve student engagement and understanding. Furthermore, it contributes to the broader discourse on the role of AI in education, potentially influencing future educational policies and practices.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	No, the title of the article is not suitable and should be eye catchy, beautiful and meaningful at the same time. One title could be "ChatGPT: A Game Changer in Junior High School Mathematics Teaching."	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive and well-written.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, references are recent but not sufficient. More references are required to uphold the manuscript.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript needs major revision. First literature review is missing. Minimum 8 recent literature review published in between 2023 to 2025 is required. Second there is no image or graphical interpretation in the manuscript. Third there is no table also in the manuscript. These things are the basic need of any manuscript for better understandability and interpretation. Next the paper is completely looking like essay type or theory based. No meaningful works seems to be done in the manuscript and no findings are also provided. Even the review-based manuscripts also put up some new insights, findings in their manuscript which is supported by tabular results and graphical interpretations. This is completely absent in the given manuscript. Discussion section is also missing. Please also provide separate Future Scopes and Limitations section as well.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anshit Mukherjee</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Abacus Institute of Engineering and Management, India</b>