

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129505
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study Of Career Preferences & Career Conflicts Among The Secondary And Senior Secondary School Students
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript investigated the critical issue of career selection and conflicts among secondary and senior secondary school students which is veery significant in the decision-making of their career paths. The findings of the study highlighted the need for educators and counselors to provide effective career guidance and support to students, enabling them to make informed choices aligned with their interests and aspirations. By addressing career conflicts and promoting informed career choices, this research contributes to the body of knowledge in the field of education and has the potential to positively impact students' career trajectories and overall well-being.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and informative but a bit long. It can be improved by simplifying/summarizing the ff: a. introductory statement of the topic into 5 sentences only b. summarizing the methodology c. findings/ results	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Almost all references are recent, except for some which are below 2015.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is mostly suitable for scholarly communication, but there are some minor grammatical errors and inconsistencies that could be improved.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The introduction can be improved by dividing it into short paragraphs. The gap must also be established to strengthen the study. You can always start discussing from the global context of the study to the national context, and to the local context that propelled you to conduct such study.</p> <p>Strengthen the statement of the problem by mentioning the demographics. How will you group the respondents of the study. This is one aspect that you must clarify since you are conducting a comparative study.</p> <p>Your research design is descriptive comparative, since you investigated the significant difference of the variables.</p> <p>In the presentation of the findings, you can strengthen it by analysing the result found in the table supported by related literature.</p> <p>Both citations and references can be improved by following the APA 7<sup>th</sup> edition.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marisa Petalla
Department, University & Country	University of Negros Occidental, Philippines