

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129402
Title of the Manuscript:	Senior High School Students' Perception of Difficult Chemistry Topics
Type of the Article	Research Article

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Similar works already reported; what new information the present work offers should be highlighted throughout the article in tune with the sections; in the case of abstract it is methods and novelty; in the case of the background of the study it is a justification of the present work; in case of results and discussion, it is the comparison how your data with previous work and relate in tune with objectives; in the case of conclusion, it is a take-home piece of new information to the readers;	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Present the methods used in the study and novelty.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Reference must follow the manuscript style. Include DOI number or publication URL for each reference. Cite at least 4 references from the Web of Science (WOS), Asean Citation Index (ACI), and Scopus Index Databases.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The paper requires careful polishing of its English presentation, addressing Grammatical issues, typos, and poorly written sentences.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Tweak the introduction by clearly mentioning the research gap and introducing the problem well. Comparative analysis is missing; without comparative analysis with the existing literature. Comparison of how your data with the previous work relates to objectives. Add unique features of this study compared to the existing works. A conclusion should also exhibit the novelty, prospects, and recommendations.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Roy O. Anabo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Graduate School, Eastern Samar State University, Philippines</b>