

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128605
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementation of Community Welfare Improvement Policy through the Family Hope Programme in Tibawa Sub-district, Gorontalo Regency
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The Importance of the Manuscript for the Scientific Community</p> <p>This manuscript is highly significant for the scientific community, particularly in the fields of social policy and poverty alleviation. The study highlights the implementation of the Family Hope Programme (PKH) in Tibawa Sub-district, Gorontalo Regency, serving as a relevant case study to understand the challenges and opportunities in improving community welfare. By analyzing communication, resources, disposition, and bureaucratic structure using the Edward III framework, this manuscript provides substantial contributions to evaluating policy-based social interventions. The findings can serve as an important reference for policymakers to enhance the effectiveness of welfare programs in Indonesia.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable but can be refined for more specificity: "Evaluation of the Family Hope Programme (PKH) Implementation in Improving Community Welfare in Tibawa Sub-district, Gorontalo Regency"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract is fairly comprehensive, but here are a few suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add a brief background about the national importance of the PKH program. 2. Summarize the key findings in clearer and more concise sentences. 3. Mention the policy implications or recommendations derived from the study. <p>Example Revision: "This study found that the implementation of PKH in Tibawa Sub-district faces challenges in communication, resource availability, and bureaucratic coordination, yet shows positive results in implementer disposition."</p>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>Scientifically, the manuscript is strong with relevant theoretical frameworks and clear research methods. However, improvements are suggested:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide a more in-depth analysis of the resource aspect, specifically concrete solutions to address limitations in PKH facilitators. 2. Sharpen the discussion on inter-agency coordination under the bureaucratic structure aspect. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient, but additional recent studies, particularly on PKH policy implementation after 2021, would strengthen the discussion. Incorporating relevant international journal articles on welfare program implementation could further enrich the manuscript	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Overall, the English language in the manuscript is good, but minor editing is needed for sentence structure and the use of academic terminology to make it more suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript provides a thorough examination of the implementation of the Family Hope Programme (PKH) in Tibawa Sub-district, Gorontalo Regency. The study is well-structured and addresses a critical social issue relevant to poverty alleviation policies in Indonesia. However, the following general suggestions may help improve the overall quality of the paper:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarity and Focus <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ensure the arguments presented in the results and discussion are concise and directly linked to the research objectives. ○ Avoid repetition of concepts, particularly in the communication and bureaucratic structure sections. 2. Policy Recommendations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Strengthen the conclusion by offering specific and actionable policy recommendations based on the findings. For example, suggest improvements in communication strategies, resource allocation, and inter-agency coordination. 3. Visual Aids <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Incorporating tables or figures to summarize key findings (e.g., challenges in communication, resources, and bureaucratic structures) would make the results easier to understand and visually engaging for readers. 4. Theoretical Integration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Enhance the discussion by integrating findings with broader literature on policy implementation and poverty alleviation. This would increase the study's relevance to both national and international audiences. 5. Language Improvements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ While the overall language quality is good, a professional proofreading service could help refine sentence structure, grammar, and academic tone. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Sakroni</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Politeknik Kesejahteraan Sosial, Indonesia</p>