

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128605
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementation of Community Welfare Improvement Policy through the Family Hope Programme in Tibawa Sub-district, Gorontalo Regency
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The intention of the researcher seems to highlight government's intention to implement a policy to reduce the poverty status in the country. This is important to the scientific community as it gives a good case study for research based on practice and practice based on research. Practice based research would be as a result of implementation of some recommendations derived from this research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggested topic. Implementation of Community Welfare Improvement Policy. A case of the Family Hope Programme in Tibawa Sub-district, Gorontalo Regency ,country	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is missing out on who exactly were the respondents of the study. Where exactly did the researcher get his/her data from? A good abstract should capture key recommendations. This is missing in this abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Partially NO. Though the researcher used qualitative method, the fundamental research details are missing; Exmple, Who were, and how many respondents were involved? What was the response rate? Was piloting done? I was keen to look out for any responses done verbatim considering that this is a qualitative study, there is none! The researcher should have a step by step explanation on how the raw data was analysed, I find description on the analysis very general.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	okay	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Okay	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Under what profession is this study anchored? The researcher should state the value that this study is going to add to the related profession.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Phyllis Muraguri
Department, University & Country	Technical University of Kenya, Kenya