

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_130834
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Groundwater Quality Using Physicochemical Parameters in Kahalgaon Town, Bhagalpur District, Bihar.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to the community as it introduce a way around for treating water which will protects community health.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not comprehensive. Introduce your study area here include also your methodology which will include also parameters under study. Thereafter, give a summary of the analytical procedures used and results you got and a very short discussion	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No, it’s not correct. 1. The arrangement of the manuscript is very poor 2. The work lacks backup of what written from the literature. This is from the introduction all the way to the results and discussion. 3. The author did not show how the study was done. Due to the above the study is hard to follow and clearly understand it	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient. The author should go through the literature. The author should use google scholar to search for similar studies	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Somehow but not that much	
Optional/General comments	<div>1. The idea is good and interesting</div> <div>2. The arrangement of the manuscript is very poor</div> <div>3. The work lacks backup of what written from the literature. This is from the introduction all the way to the results and discussion.</div> <div>4. The author did not show how the study was done.</div> <div>5. Due to the above the study is hard to follow and clearly understand it.</div> <div>6. IF ALL OF THE ABOVE WILL BE TAKEN CARE THE PAPER WILL BE GOOD.</div> <div>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</div>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gift I Marandu
Department, University & Country	Sokoine University of Agriculture, Tanzania