

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_131200
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating strategic performance based on measuring productivity linked to profit : A case study in the Iraqi Company for Engineering Works
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is not has much importance of the article because it is methodology limitation data limitation Only use excel	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is good but the general out-put give the best result of the research, there is a problem in methodology starting	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No it is need modification based on the regulation and rule	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is good but it can add more reference for better modification	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Major modification of the article PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Birhane Desta Atsegeba
Department, University & Country	Ambo University, Ethiopia