

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_130897
Title of the Manuscript:	Determining the relationship between financial reporting and financial accountability in Bushenyi District Local Government, Uganda
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript contributes valuable insights into the relationship between financial reporting and financial accountability in local governments, specifically within the context of Bushenyi District, Uganda. It provides empirical evidence of the positive impact of integrated financial reporting systems on enhancing transparency, reducing fraud, and improving decision-making in the public sector. By highlighting the effectiveness of these systems, this study offers recommendations for streamlining financial planning and strengthening internal controls, which are critical for sustainable governance and resource management in developing countrie	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	its suitable in many respects. It clearly identifies the key focus of the study, which is the relationship between financial reporting and financial accountability. Additionally, it specifies the location of the study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The study scientifically correct in several ways: Methodology, Sampling and Data, Analysis and Interpretation, Conclusion and Literature Review	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient enough	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	the language and English quality are generally suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	<div>1. The phenomenon has not been presented in the introduction; it needs further clarification.</div> <div>2. The research gap have not been clearly emphasized in the introduction.</div> <div>There;s no issue regarding competing interest</div> <div>There;s no issue regarding ethical issue</div>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aloysius Harry Mukti
Department, University & Country	Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Indonesia