## **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_130832
Title of the Manuscript:	Corporate Social Responsibility and Good Corporate Governance: The Role of Financial Stability as Intervening Variable
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

# **Review Form 3**

### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to the scientific community, and the value of this paper is highlighted in its enhancement of theoretical studies in the field of corporate governance value. Many stakeholders are interested in enhancing corporate governance. In addition, the findings of this paper may be of practical value to regulatory authorities and decision-makers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol> <li>The abstract does not contain the necessary information to reflect the research work.</li> <li>The researcher must delete the introduction of the abstract and move directly to the study's objective. The abstract must contain the study methodology and include the study's duration, which is (2019-2023).</li> <li>After presenting the results, the researcher must make a recommendation based on the results he has reached.</li> </ol>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically, there are several errors in the manuscript, which are:  1. The introduction is not sufficiently related to the study variables.  2. The researcher did not indicate the importance of the study.  3. The researcher did not refer to Practical implications.  4. The number of references is insufficient, and the researcher did not review the relevant literature.  5. The researcher did not soundly develop the study hypotheses.  6. The researcher did not discuss the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent, but not sufficient. I suggest adding the following references:     Akhter, F., Hossain, M. R., Elrehail, H., Rehman, S. U., & Almansour, B. (2023). Environmental disclosures and corporate attributes, from the lens of legitimacy theory: a longitudinal analysis on a developing country. <i>European Journal of Management and Business Economics</i> , 32(3), 342-369. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMBE-01-2021-0008">https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMBE-01-2021-0008</a> Almaqtari, F. A., Elsheikh, T., Hashim, H. A., & Youssef, M. A. E. A. (2024). Board attributes and environmental and sustainability performance: Moderating role of environmental teams in Asia and Europe. <i>Sustainable Futures</i> , 7, 100149. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2023.100149">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2023.100149</a> Al-Beshtawi, S. H., Zraqat, O. M., & Moh'd Al-hiyasat, H. (2014). The Impact of Corporate Governance on Non Financial Performance in Jordanian Commercial Banks and Islamic Banks. <i>International Journal of Financial Research</i> , <i>5</i> (3), 54-67. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v5n3p54">http://dx.doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v5n3p54</a>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is clear and can be used to communicate well.	
ptional/General comments		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

### **Review Form 3**

## PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Omar Zraqat
Department, University & Country	Jerash University, Jordan

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)