

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_130894
Title of the Manuscript:	TO EVALUATE SIMULTANEOUS INTRUSION AND RETRACTION OF MAXILLARY ANTERIOR TEETH WITH K-SIR ARCH –A CLINICAL STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a good manuscript, there is novelty, K sir is one of the good means of Intrusion and retraction so a study on this topic will be beneficial to users	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is a suitable title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is fine.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes but the results part is lacking there is no effort to explain the results, readings and the tables	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	fine	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	To be accepted with some addition in results section	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Varun Goyal
Department, University & Country	University of Health Sciences, Rohtak, India