

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_130366
Title of the Manuscript:	Late Aortic Dissection Post-Bentall in an Inoperable Patient: Case Report and Clinical Lessons
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	None	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Revision of the text needed	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>This case reports describes a patient who developed an aortic dissection late after a Bentall operation using a biological conduit. The patient was considered inoperable and obviously died shortly thereafter. The authors' conclusion is that: <i>Patients who have undergone Bentall surgery require close monitoring and long-term follow-up to prevent and quickly detect complications such as aortic dissection. Rigorous management of cardiovascular risk factors, continuous monitoring with advanced imaging techniques, and a multidisciplinary approach are essential to improve the long-term prognosis of these patients.</i></p> <p>These are obvious and evident considerations and I really cannot find any specific reason for presenting such a case and I hardly believe that this case could have any interest for readers.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uberto Bortolotti
Department, University & Country	University of Pisa, Italy