

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_130366
Title of the Manuscript:	Late Aortic Dissection Post-Bentall in an Inoperable Patient: Case Report and Clinical Lessons
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Undoubtedly late follow-up for Bentall procedure is an important point on the road map for the management strategy for these patients. All aspects are crucially important – from the history of dissection to hypertension, connective tissue diseases and medication intake discipline. Where is the place of biological graft in the long-term complications - unclear. This paper helps not to find out the proper cause of recurrent dissection but the importance of monitoring such patients in follow-up period.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. It’s quite suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No suggestions	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. Its correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. The references are sufficient and fresh	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The strategy in this case is quite controversial. There were indications for emergency surgery. But the best option for such type of patients (plus age) is always unclear - the scales of choice between high risk of re-do surgery and medications. It’s an interesting case	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sergii Siromakha
Department, University & Country	National Amosov Institute of Cardio-Vascular Surgery, Ukraine