

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_130366
Title of the Manuscript:	Late Aortic Dissection Post-Bentall in an Inoperable Patient: Case Report and Clinical Lessons
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the medical community as it provides valuable insights into the long-term outcomes and potential complications following a complex surgical procedure. Understanding the incidence, risk factors, and management strategies for this condition can help improve patient care, reduce morbidity, and guide clinicians in making informed decisions. Additionally, it contributes to the development of preventive measures and more effective treatment protocols, ultimately advancing the field of cardiovascular surgery. This research is essential for enhancing the quality of life and survival rates for patients who have undergone the Bentall procedure.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear, but it could be streamlined for better clarity and impact. Here are a few suggestions:  "Late Aortic Dissection Following Bentall Procedure in an Inoperable Patient: A Case Report and Clinical Insights" "Late Aortic Dissection in an Inoperable Patient Post-Bentall Procedure: A Clinical Perspective"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, effectively summarizing the key findings and clinical insights of the case report, which ensures a clear understanding of the study's significance.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript demonstrates strong scientific rigor, with well-supported conclusions that align with current medical knowledge.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The inclusion of sufficient and relevant references enhances the scientific validity of the work, providing a solid foundation for the presented case.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is excellent, with clear, concise, and professional writing that ensures accessibility for a broad academic audience.	
Optional/General comments	Valuable Case Report	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salahuddin Rahaman
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Bangladesh