

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_130590
Title of the Manuscript:	Occult obstructed inguinal hernia in female-a rarity
Type of the Article	Case report

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The inguinal hernia and especially in female patients still didn't lose its actuality. Diagnosing and managing them still individual variability according to patient symptoms, comorbidities. also, surgeons opinion to the presented case with his clinical thinking, in addition to the hospital technical capabilities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Management of an occult inguinal hernia in elderly female patient. case report.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think it is comprehensive manuscript writing which needs (from my opinion), much more explanation about the case diagnostics and the approval of choosing such surgical technique in this patient. I think it could be much more interesting by adding also 2 pictures (before and post operative).	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I think the manuscript scientifically, correct. Just need little clarification such as 1. <b>and raised hepatic enzymes (till which level).</b> 2. with <b>antibiotics(which kind and why)</b> 3. <b>ppi. why!!</b> 4. which kind of anesthesia was choosed, because in the section 2-mentioned spinal anesthesia while in the discussion mentioned regional anesthesia.!!! 5. why did the author used Bassini technique in such case !!!why not Lichtenstein technique !!!!	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I can agree that 12 references are sufficient but when updated references for last 5 years just 2 out of 12 which is less than 20%, it could be a matter to think about.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think the language quality is quite good for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think that the case is very interesting and providing more information will make it unique.  No ethical issues.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mekhaeel Shehata Fakhry Mekhaeel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Peoples Friendship University of Russia, Russia</b>