

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_130400
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Surgical excision of a Giant Mature Retroperitoneal Teratoma Encasing the Superior Mesenteric Artery: A Case Report and review of the literature</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is generally important, well structured, well detailed apart from a few sentences which were not well written. In introduction; In a teenager aged 16 years old, with sheathing of the mesenteric artery case presentation: And abdominal arch The mass has pushed back the organs The operative consequences were unremarkable and outgoing day 5 post operative discission: Surgery is the standard treatment	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is long and not well worded  Mature retroperitoneal teratoma in an adolescent girl, report of a case	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The objective of the article and the key words are missing	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	yes, it is correct	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	if it is possible to add a recent reference that would be ideal.  giant retroperitoneal teratoma 2014  <a href="https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2014.18.147.4351">https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2014.18.147.4351</a>	

### Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes fits	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Djelti Sihem
Department, University & Country	Algeria