

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_130669
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Identification of fungi producing amylase from locally made pap residue
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The production of amylase, an industrially important enzyme using pap residue can be used by various industries as a low cost substrate and at the same time it would help to mitigate the disposal problem. The recent trend is to look for different low cost alternatives instead of the traditional ones and this manuscript is at par in this regard.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	According to me there was no need to write the isolation procedure in details in the abstract. Also, in one place it was mentioned that 'Out of 21 fungal isolates obtained from sweet potato peel, 15 showed clear zone of hydrolysis'. My question is you had isolated the fungal strains from pap residues of maize (as mentioned earlier in the abstract) so from where this sweet potato peel is coming?	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. The authors have given a detailed description of the isolation and identification of the amylase producing fungal strains. They have also described their culture techniques and reducing sugar producing efficiencies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saswati Gayen
Department, University & Country	Vijaygarh Jyotish Ray College, India