

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_131093
Title of the Manuscript:	Critical Factors Influencing Electronic Health Record (HER) Adoption in U.S. Hospitals: An Empirical Analysis Using the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) Framework
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is a good literature for future studies on adoption/uptake of EHR by health facilities across the world. The study opened a new chapter on another framework that could be adopted for the study of same subject The manuscript is clear review of the need to study in details to reasons for the slow total adoption of by the health facilities	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title suits the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract was comprehensive. The author included all the relevant information needed in an abstract as well as made it brief with simple language	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, however, almost all the in-text citations were over 10 years old which made the article not look current.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references were sufficient but over 10 years old which makes it difficult for readers to connect to the current reality of EHR adoption by US hospitals	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language was simple and suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	This article looks very relevant for scientific purposes, however the references used were too old, thereby making the study not connect readers to the current reality of the adoptions of EHR in USA. Also, the article lacked clear statistical background to prove the low adoption of EHR by health facilities in the USA. Lastly, the author could explore well the purported reasons why some prescribers may oppose certain EHR softwares rather since many prescribers are rather embracing the use of EHR due to its ease in getting to know the medical records of clients with just a click than the old system of chasing after papers.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Matthew Frimpong Antwi
Department, University & Country	International Telematic University, Ghana