

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_131011
Title of the Manuscript:	Grittiness and Stressors of Grade Six in Flora District as Basis for Intervention
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscripts highlight the need to explore how the changes in the new educational system would impact the growing number of children. Understanding the coping strategy helps to promote the same for the population and plan intervention for students who are low on the coping strategy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title mentions stressors, but the study's results don't include anything about stressors. Instead, they highlight the coping strategy not mentioned in the title.  The title can be: Grittiness and Coping Strategy of Grade Six in Flora District as Basis for Intervention	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>In the methods, inferential statistics employed can be mentioned.</b>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, with mentioned suggestions for changes needed	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sources cited in the article are not included in the reference list. Such as: Zhou et al. (2020), Lee and Chae (2021)	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality is scientific and suitable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In the table on coping strategies employed, the frequency values in the descriptive table are confusing. The mean score suggests which is the dominant coping strategy employed is seeking social support at 70%. Still, the total frequency percentage, including all the strategies, comes up to 200%, and the table mentions 100%. Either there is an error in the table percentage representation Or give the logic of the frequency  There is a mention of the assessment of perceived stress, but it is not being discussed in the results and discussion.  Statistical analysis mentions significant tests, but no such analysis has been done.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Prathibha M V</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Psychological Sciences, CHRIST University, India</b>