

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_130989
Title of the Manuscript:	ACADEMIC BURNOUT, EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE AND ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF THE BACHELOR OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION STUDENTS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research findings are interested and bring new information. Using different tools added more solid data and new information that are not available before.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>The title is fine the with the study, need to add specific location of the study</p> <p>ACADEMIC BURNOUT, EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE AND ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF THE BACHELOR OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION STUDENTS In one state of north Philippines</p> <p>Or ACADEMIC BURNOUT, EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE AND ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF THE BACHELOR OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION STUDENTS, a descriptive method in one university in</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract: -ASC-BEEd : need to put full words not abbreviation at the beginning so the reader will understand what is that</p> <p>-What profile means? Need to replace it with demographic data. Or explain to the reader that the demographic data is the participant profile.</p> <p>-Methodology: need to add the research tools names. Any software used to analyse the data</p> <p>-results: need to be general, in abstracts we don't put numbers of mean, just the general results.</p> <p>-conclusion: wrongly written, need to be rewritten</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>In introduction Last paragraph, this study will, it will.... As a researcher, you cannot anticipate or expect that the result of the research WILL, you cannot be 100% sure of that. Need to change it to: the results of this study HOPED toit MAY help in</p> <p>Problem statement: Number 1 These are all the demographic data and needed to understand or to discuss the results of the tools, it is not a questions</p> <p>1.2 Hypothesis: no need to include any hypothesis here since we are doing a journal article not a thesis. Delete all hypothesis</p> <p>1.3 Theoretical and conceptual framework Need to delete all the literature review data and focus on the theories behind the study, on what bases or on which theories you adopt in your study Conceptual framework is fine, figure is OK</p> <p>Methodology: excellent</p> <p>Results and discussion: need to separate the results from discussion unless the journal guidelines are ok with it since it may confuse the reader.</p> <p>Conclusion: wrongly written. Need to give the reader a conclusion not a results</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>No comment</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to send to a proofread</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Fix all comments up and it is good for publishing</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adam Mahmoud Salameh Khraisat
Department, University & Country	University of Buraimi, Oman