Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_130852
Title of the Manuscript:	Comprehensive Evaluation of the Anti-hyperglycemic Efficacy of Tinospora cordifolia in Rodent Models Coupled with a Detailed Assessment of Its Adverse Effect Profile
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study on Tinospora cordifolia presents valuable insights into its anti-hyperglycemic effects, demonstrating its therapeutic potential in diabetic rodent models. Given the increasing prevalence of diabetes and the limitations of existing medications due to side effects, exploring plant-based alternatives is of immense scientific significance. The manuscript provides substantial experimental data supporting the potential of T. cordifolia as an antidiabetic agent.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate and aligns with the study's focus.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract effectively summarizes the research	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and relevant	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is well-written	
Optional/General comments	 □ Adverse Effects Not Reported: The manuscript does not explicitly mention if any toxicity or adverse effects were observed in the animals. Ethical guidelines require reporting any discomfort, toxicity symptoms, or side effects experienced by the experimental subjects. □ Humane Endpoints Not Clearly Defined: The manuscript does not clarify how pain mitigation was ensured in animals subjected to diabetes induction via alloxan. Mentioning analgesic use, humane endpoints, or monitoring protocols would strengthen ethical transparency. 	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V Ramakrishnan
Department, University & Country	Tamilnadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)