Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_130815
Title of the Manuscript:	Level of Awareness and Extent of Implementation of the Child Protection Policy in Flora District
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</u> Benefits for Reviewers: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</u>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (I part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable empirical insights into the awareness and implementation of child protection policies in education. It emphasizes the necessity for improved training and systemic interventions to effectively safeguard children's rights. The findings highlight critical areas that require attention for better policy enforcement.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title, "Level of Awareness and Extent of Implementation of the Child Protection Policy in Flora District," is descriptive	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should clarify the purpose of the paper by emphasizing policy goals and child protection.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript lacks clarity in purpose, focusing too much on demographics rather than policy impact.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references provided include a mix of older and more recent sources, with several dating back to 2008 or earlier. Incorporate more recent studies, publications, and guidelines on child protection policies, ideally from the last five years.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article's relevance to child protection policies is clear, but improving its language, grammar, and clarity will enhance its scholarly quality and effectiveness.	
ptional/General comments	The manuscript offers valuable insights into child protection policies in education, highlighting policy gaps and the need for better training. The abstract requires revision for clarity, focusing on policy goals rather than demographics. It contains outdated references and needs language and clarity improvements before it can be approved for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed w highlight that part in the manuso his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Imran Ali
Department, University & Country	Quaid-e-Azam Law College, Pakistan

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ot. It is mandatory that authors should write e)

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write