

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_130471
Title of the Manuscript:	Autophagy: A Critical Review of Cellular Homeostasis and Pathophysiology
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article delineates autophagy; a critical cellular process involved in homeostatic control, with particular emphasis in evincing its mechanisms, regulatiojs and implications in broader health outcomes. The discussion of its dual roles in immunity, cancer and neurodegenration works to highlihht autophagy's complicated biomedical significance and potential as a therapeutic target. This work is importany for the scientific community in developing therapeutic agents which aim to modulate autophagy in pathological contexts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable. It carries forward the article's primary focus on autophagy and the implications for cellular homeostasis and related diseases.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of thr article is sufficiently comprehensive. However, further delineating the challenges of translating foundational research surrounding autophagy into the clinical applications mentioned would help enhance its clarity.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. The article is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. The refrences used are sufficient in supporting the inferences derived in the article and are acceptedly recent. No further refrences are necessary at this juncture.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. The language used conveys clarity and demonstrates clear scholastic understanding.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaun Ng Zen Qiang
Department, University & Country	Nanyang Technological University, Singapore