

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_130156
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Social Facilitation on Test Performance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript explores the impact of social facilitation on test performance, offering valuable insights into how social presence influences cognitive outcomes. Its findings contribute to educational psychology, enhancing our understanding of performance in academic settings. The study is significant for both psychological research and practical applications in educational environments.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable as it clearly indicates the focus of the study on the influence of social facilitation on test performance.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a concise overview of the study's aim and focus on social facilitation and test performance. However, it could benefit from mentioning the methodology and key findings to make it more comprehensive. Additionally, including a brief statement on the practical implications of the research could enhance the abstract's clarity and relevance.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and adheres to appropriate research methods and conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and up-to-date, covering key studies relevant to the topic. However, adding more recent studies on social facilitation could enhance the research.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is clear and suitable for scholarly communications. The writing is professional and free from major grammatical issues.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript provides valuable insights into social facilitation. It would benefit from deeper exploration of how different social environments influence individual test performance.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	E.Pranavi
Department, University & Country	G.Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science, India