

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_127304
Title of the Manuscript:	Barriers to Proper PPE Management in a Pandemic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript presents a valuable contribution to the scientific community by addressing a critical issue. The research provides new insights that can help further our understanding of PPE, filling a gap in the existing literature. However, while the manuscript makes a solid attempt to tackle an important problem, there are areas where further refinement could improve clarity and depth of analysis. Overall, the manuscript holds promise, but it could benefit from a more thorough exploration of its implications and a stronger connection to current research trends.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Recommendation: It is also a good idea to include a brief conclusion about the findings or more specific research contributions	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Needs repair	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates significant scientific strength through its rigorous methodological approach and systematic data collection. The author managed to integrate current theories with empirical findings, creating a solid foundation for the analysis carried out. In addition, the conclusions drawn are supported by strong evidence and relevance to current issues, making a meaningful contribution to the development of knowledge in the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	No	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript needs improvement	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suprpto
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic Sandi Karsa, Indonesia