

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_131129
Title of the Manuscript:	OPTIMIZATION OF GROWTH AND YIELD OF GLUTINOUS CORN WITH THE APPLICATION OF AMELIORAN FORMULATION PLUS MYCORRHIZA ON SANDY SOIL
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study, "Optimizing the Growth and Yield of Glutinous Corn Using Ameliorant Formulation and Mycorrhiza on Sandy Soil," benefits both farmers and the food industry by increasing glutinous corn production, which is widely used in different dishes. The eco-friendly treatments used in this research help maintain healthy soil for long-term farming. Moreover, this study introduces a new and improved method in agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a thorough summary; however, it should include the statistical significance (P < 0.05) to strengthen the findings.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is significantly correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references used in this study are sufficient.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of the English language used in this article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Derby E. Poliquit
Department, University & Country	Northwest Samar State University, Philippines