

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_130772
Title of the Manuscript:	FRUIT MORPHOLOGICAL RESPONSE OF F200 PINEAPPLE Ananas comosus (L.) merr AS INFLUENCED BY GIBBERELLIC ACID APPLICATION AND CALCIUM SUPPLEMENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript offers valuable insights into the combined effects of gibberellic acid and calcium on F200 pineapple morphology and growth. The findings contribute to optimizing agronomic practices for pineapple production, potentially benefiting horticulturists and agronomists in improving fruit quality and yield.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is informative but lengthy. Suggested alternative: "Impact of Gibberellic Acid and Calcium Supplements on F200 Pineapple Morphology."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could improve clarity. Suggested additions include emphasizing the statistical significance of results and highlighting practical applications of findings.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically robust. However, minor clarifications and data interpretations are needed to enhance credibility.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and relevant. Including more recent studies on pineapple physiology and GA3 applications would strengthen the literature review.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally clear but requires editing for grammar and fluency to meet scholarly communication standards.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well-structured and contains a comprehensive discussion of results. However, the language could benefit from further refinement to ensure clarity and fluency, particularly in the abstract and introduction.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahul Dongre
Department, University & Country	JNKVV, India