

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_130390
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH AND YIELD OF SWEET CORN (Zea mays var. rugosa) IN RESPONSE TO BIO-FERTILIZER APPLICATION
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The importance of Bio-N® a commercially formulated biofertilizer was proven to enhanced the growth and yield of sweet corn.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	YES	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	YES	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically correct as indicated from the obtained results	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are good	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	English quality is good but, can be modified	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Corrections are minor but very essential. I have highlighted them in the manuscript for the authors consideration. Text highlighted in red colour should be deleted while those with yellow highlights be included. Authors should modify the methodology section by providing detailed description of materials used, data collected and methods used in collection of data.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rakiya Abdullahi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Maiduguri, Nigeria</b>