

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHHR_130390
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and yield of sweet corn (zea mays var. Rugosa) in response to bio-fertilizer application
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript "GROWTH AND YIELD OF SWEET CORN ( <i>Zea mays</i> var. <i>rugosa</i> ) IN RESPONSE TO BIO-FERTILIZER APPLICATION" represents an interesting contribution in the areas of nutrient management. However, it needs to pass major revision (shown in track changes in the manuscript as well) before being accepted for publication	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Put the keywords.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It needs serious major revision for acceptance.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Make the references as per the journal reference style.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I am not English language professional.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Explain the abbreviation in the manuscript first time</li> <li>2. Put the keywords.</li> <li>3. In the introduction part, it is better to focus on biofertilizers rather than general facts. Try to focus on technical issues.</li> <li>4. Table 1. Weather table should be put in the methods and materials section.</li> <li>5. Give the soil analysis report in the methods and materials section.</li> <li>6. Replace the Horticultural Characteristics to Agronomic Characteristics.</li> <li>7. It should be given below the relevant results. Follow this roll for all others results as well</li> <li>8. Give the interaction results as well in the table.</li> <li>9. No need of summery</li> <li>10. Remove recommendation subheading and put it in the conclusion section.</li> <li>11. Arrange the references as per the Journal reference style</li> </ol>	

Commented [AK1]: I thank it is agronomic characteristics not horticultural

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Azizullah Khalili
Department, University & Country	Sayed Jamaluddin Afghani University (SJAU), Afghanistan