

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_131173
Title of the Manuscript:	Red meat finishing management strategies and pasture production for drought adaptation as alternative business in Kajiado and Isiolo counties, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is highly significant for the scientific community as it explores sustainable red meat finishing management strategies and pasture production in the drought-prone regions of Kajiado and Isiolo counties, Kenya. By addressing climate adaptation in livestock production, it provides valuable insights into mitigating the adverse effects of drought on pastoral livelihoods and food security.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is quite long and could be more concise while maintaining clarity and relevance. Here’s a refined version: "Red Meat Finishing Strategies and Pasture Management for Drought Adaptation in Kajiado and Isiolo Counties, Kenya"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a good overview but could be more structured for clarity. Consider explicitly stating key findings and conclusions. The mention of "huge gross margins" needs quantification or supporting details. Also, clarify the role of pasture production in drought adaptation. Simplifying some complex sentences would enhance readability.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically sound as it follows a structured research approach, including economic analysis, data collection from multiple sources, and identification of key constraints and opportunities. It effectively examines red meat finishing strategies and pasture production as a business for drought adaptation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some grammatical mistakes in the manuscript that need to be addressed.	
Optional/General comments	The study explores red meat finishing management strategies and pasture production for drought adaptation in Kajiado and Isiolo counties. Economic analysis was conducted on various practices, highlighting profitability and sustainability. Key constraints included diseases, pasture scarcity, and water access, while pasture production and disease management were priority needs. Findings suggest fenced plot finishing is profitable, while open grazing poses challenges, especially in communal land settings.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asma Khan Kakar
Department, University & Country	University of Balochistan, Pakistan