## **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_130694
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality Assurance and Institutional Development in Agricultural Graduate Programs: A Case Study of CBSUA
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Comments

Please write a few sentences regarding the Importance of this smuscript of the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.       The manuscript is important to the research community in general and the tertiary education community in particular, especially as regards professional agricultural education. The independent program evaluations and stakeholder feedbacks will facilitate the emergence and/or consolidation of a quality assurance framework for CBSUA graduate programs. May other universities will leverage the findings of this study to improve their graduate programs. May other universities will everage the findings of this study to improve their graduate programs. In order to meet requirements and worldwide academic standards.         Is the title of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.       Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive enough. However, key elements that shall subsequently be added as a consequence of this review, should be reflected in the abstract. Special attention should be added as a consequence of this review, should be reflected in the abstract. Special subsequently be added as a consequence of this review, should be reflected in the abstract. Special subsequently be added as a consequence of this review, should be reflected in the abstract. Special write here.         Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.       The manuscript is scientifically correct hevever; a number of corrections need to be made to improve its quality: its quality: its quality: its quality: its quality which is research questions. The main and specific research duption approach?       Its consequence of this scientifically correct however; a number of corrections need to be made to improve its quality the insecting of the study and		Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Ple part in the manuscript. It his/her feedback here)
(If not please suggest an alternative title)       Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.       Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive enough. However, key elements that shall subsequently be added as a consequence of this review, should be reflected in the abstract. Special attention should be paid to the methodology         Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write your suggestions here.       The manuscript is scientifically correct however; a number of corrections need to be made to improve its quality:         i       In addition to the overall aim or purpose of the study presented, the author should also present:         •       The main and specific research duestions.         •       The main and specific research duestions.         •       The main and specific research objectives; especially as this is a thorough Mixed Methods Research design         (ii)       Usard to combination of the qualitative and quantitative strands of research were employed: exploratory / explanatory sequential, parallel or convergent design. Why the specific approach?         (iii)       Which instruments were used for the qualitative strand of the mixed methods study and how they administered?         (v)       Clearly which end due to the reviewed manuscript         Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.       Yes, the references are sufficient; Hay are not recent enough as about 43.3% of them are older than 10 years. The     <	importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be	community in particular, especially as regards professional agricultural education. The independent program evaluations and stakeholder feedbacks will facilitate the emergence and/or consolidation of a quality assurance framework for CBSUA graduate programs. Many other universities will leverage the findings of this study to improve their graduate programs in order to meet requirements and worldwide	
you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.       subsequently be added as a consequence of this review, should be reflected in the abstract. Special attention should be paid to the methodology         Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.       The manuscript is scientifically correct however; a number of corrections need to be made to improve its quality:         i) In addition to the overall aim or purpose of the study presented, the author should also present:       The main and specific research questions,         ii) Clarify which combination of the qualitative and quantitative strands of research were employed: explorator / explanatory sequential, parallel or convergent design. Why the specific approach?         iii) Which instruments were used for the qualitative strand of the mixed methods study and how they were they administered?         v) Clearly state the different data sources used in the study and for each of the strands. See other comments in the uploaded version of the reviewed manuscript         Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.       Yes, the references are sufficient; However, overall, they are not recent enough as about 43.3% of them are older than 10 years. The		Yes the title of the article is suitable.	
write here.       its quality:       i) In addition to the overall aim or purpose of the study presented, the author should also present:         •       The main and specific research questions,       •         •       The main and specific research objectives; especially as this is a thorough Mixed Methods Research design         ii)       Clarify which combination of the qualitative and quantitative strands of research were employed: exploratory / explanatory sequential, parallel or convergent design. Why the specific approach?         iii)       Which instruments were used for the qualitative strand of the mixed methods study and how they were they administered?         iv)       What sampling methods were used in the study, and the sample size for each phase / strand?         v)       Clearly state the different data sources used in the study and for each of the strands. See other comments in the uploaded version of the reviewed manuscript         Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.       Yes, the references are sufficient; However, overall, they are not recent enough as about 43.3% of them are older than 10 years. The	you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your	subsequently be added as a consequence of this review, should be reflected in the abstract. Special	
have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. However, overall, they are not recent enough as about 43.3% of them are older than 10 years. The		<ul> <li>its quality: <ul> <li>i) In addition to the overall aim or purpose of the study presented, the author should also present:</li> <li>The main and specific research questions,</li> <li>The main and specific research objectives; especially as this is a thorough Mixed Methods Research design</li> <li>ii) Clarify which combination of the qualitative and quantitative strands of research were employed: exploratory / explanatory sequential, parallel or convergent design. Why the specific approach?</li> <li>iii) Which instruments were used for the qualitative strand of the mixed methods study and how they were they administered?</li> <li>iv) What sampling methods were used in the study, and the sample size for each phase / strand?</li> <li>v) Clearly state the different data sources used in the study and for each of the strands.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	have suggestions of additional references, please	However, overall, they are not recent enough as about 43.3% of them are older than 10 years. The	

<b>dback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
ack here)
,

# **Review Form 3**

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is very good and suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well written and articulated.	

### PART 2:

		Author's comment (if a highlight that part in the write his/her feedback h
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Aseh Emmanuel Viitung
Department, University & Country	ICT University, Cameroon

if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and ne manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should there)