

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_129907
Title of the Manuscript:	COMMUNITY-BASED PARTICIPATORY ACTION RESEARCH (CBPAR) ON THE ENHANCEMENT OF THE ABACA(Musa Textiles nee) PRODUCTION SYSTEM IN LAS NAVAS, NORTHERN SAMAR, PHILIPPINES
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is an essential resource for the scientific community, agricultural policymakers, and practitioners aiming to address productivity challenges in fiber industries. By documenting a successful model of participatory action research, it not only enhances the knowledge base but also inspires collaborative efforts toward sustainable agricultural development.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, modifications are required to increase the readability	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<b>1. Is it necessary to include results and findings based on specific objectives?</b> <b>2. Figure 2:</b> The conceptual framework of the CBPAR abaca project is not properly aligned. It is recommended to import it as a JPG image for better presentation within the text.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Only eight references are listed in the references section. It is essential to incorporate more recent literature and increase the number of references to strengthen the study's foundation.</li><li>References are not cited in the text. Proper in-text citation needs to be added to align with academic standards.</li><li>The alignment of references does not follow standard formatting rules (e.g., alphabetical or chronological order). This requires immediate correction to ensure consistency and adherence to referencing guidelines.</li></ul>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs improvement	
Optional/General comments	The uniqueness of this research lies in showcasing community-based interventions. Providing detailed insights into the project's successes highlights its significant impact and strengthens its contribution to sustainable agricultural development.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Dheivanai
Department, University & Country	Madurai Kamaraj University, India