

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_129907
Title of the Manuscript:	COMMUNITY-BASED PARTICIPATORY ACTION RESEARCH (CBPAR) ON THE ENHANCEMENT OF THE ABACA(Musa Textiles nee) PRODUCTION SYSTEM IN LAS NAVAS, NORTHERN SAMAR, PHILIPPINES
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript contributes significantly to the scientific community by providing valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities related to abaca production in the Philippines. The findings of this CBPAR project can inform policy decisions related to agricultural development and rural livelihoods in the region. The successful implementation of community-based interventions demonstrates the potential of participatory approaches in addressing complex agricultural challenges. Furthermore, the documentation of local abaca varieties and their production sources contributes to the conservation of valuable genetic resources. This research serves as a valuable resource for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners interested in sustainable agriculture, community development, and rural livelihoods.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">· Revise and Condense: Revise the abstract to improve its conciseness and clarity. Remove redundant phrases and shorten sentences where possible.· Focus on Key Information: Emphasize the most important findings and the significance of the study's impact.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Use the proper citation format. Include DOI, better if the references are updated	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paquito G. Fernando Jr
Department, University & Country	Northwestern Mindanao State College of Science and Technology, Philippines