Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_129907**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Community-Based Participatory Action Research (Cbpar) On The Enhancement Of The Abaca(Musa Textiles Nee) Production System In Las Navas, Northern Samar, Philippines**
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**This article can significantly impact abaca farming communities not only in the specified area but also in other abaca farming communities in the Philippines.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**Yes**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**The abstract is a little lengthy for me, you may make it briefer but concise.**	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Please see comments in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are less or no references cited in the discussion. More references would add more depth of knowledge and enhance the level of discussion. Please see comments in the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some words do not clearly describe the statements. Please see comments in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Aladin P. Repaso**
Department, University & Country	**Philippines**