

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_131515
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of stable Eri silkworm (<i>Samia ricini</i>) strain for commercial eri silk production in the climatic condition of Manipur, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	By developing a stable <i>Samia ricini</i> strain appropriate for commercial silk production in the climate of Manipur, this publication advances sericulture. It promotes sustainable silk production, expands economic prospects, and offers information on productivity, biodiversity, and strain adaptability. The results provide a scientific basis for enhancing silk quality, climatic resistance, and eri silkworm breeding.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the article is suitable but can be modified. Here is one such example of the modified title Assessment of stable strains of Eri silkworms (<i>Samia ricini</i>) for commercial silk production under the climate of Manipur.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Article is comprehensive but you can delete the subheading like aim, methodology and results and combine all in one paragraph also you can draw the graphical abstract to make it more coherent.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add more references in the introduction part and kindly ensure that all citations in the paper are in italics, both in the in-text citations.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Although the manuscript is well-structured, certain parts (such the techniques or outcomes) require more clarification for readability. The findings should be more effectively linked to current research and real-world silk production applications in the discussion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepali Sambyal
Department, University & Country	Shoolini University, India