

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_131194
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of cadmium chloride administration and restraint stress on kidney antioxidants in female Wistar rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Heavy metal toxicity is a great public health concern. Many people get affected by mercury, cadmium, arsenic, lead and many other metal toxicities every year. These heavy metals affect major organs of excretion-like kidneys and liver. In this context the paper “Effect of cadmium chloride administration and restraint stress on kidney antioxidants in female Wistar rats” is relevant and significant for scientific and clinical scientists.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, adequate and informative.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract sufficiently describes what is the issue and what was done with the outcomes.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The methodology, results and discussions are scientifically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are adequate and relevant. May add doi numbers or URL link with them.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	May publish with minor editing.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Badar Uddin Umar
Department, University & Country	Oxford College of Arts, Business and Technology, Canada