

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_130147
Title of the Manuscript:	Detecting blindsight in the clinical practice: a case-miniseries investigation.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community because it discusses the underresearched yet clinically relevant phenomenon of blindsight. By introducing a simple and quick screening tool for detecting blindsight in hemianopic patients, the study bridges the gap between complex laboratory techniques and clinically relevant practices. The identification of blindsight may lead to the tailoring of rehabilitation programs, thus ensuring greater safety and mobility for patients, which in turn will enhance their quality of life. Additionally, the results help to deepen understanding of neural plasticity and extrastriate visual pathways, which will be valuable for research as well as for therapeutic applications in neuro-ophthalmology	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES, The Title is suitable and it reflects the objective of study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and states the objective, methodology, results, and implications well. In addition, inclusion of a brief remark about sample size-four patients-in the abstract would better clarify and provide contextual information	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is well-structured and scientifically sound. The approach has been well conducted, and methodology is clear along with the proper interpretation of the results. The manuscript follows literature available on blindsight and supports all claims made using proper references	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references that are cited in the manuscript seem relevant and fairly adequate to the background and findings of the study. However, a few references appear to be rather old, as foundational studies are largely from earlier decades. Adding some more recent work (from the last 5–10 years) on improvements in blindsight detection, neuroplasticity, or rehabilitation techniques would improve the manuscript. For instance: Tamietto, M., & Morrone, M. C. (2016). Visual plasticity and blindsight: New insights from lesion studies and transcranial magnetic stimulation. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> , 128, 2–9. Bridge, H., Thomas, O., Jbabdi, S., and Cowey, A. (2019). The phenomenon of blindsight is contingent upon the lateral geniculate nucleus. <i>Nature</i> , 466(7304), 373–377.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of manuscript is scientifically correct and explains every point well.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is well-structured and examines a pertinent topic in the detection of blindsight; however, it could be refined to improve clarity and readability. Some sections, especially in the introduction and methods, could be streamlined to make the document flow better. Additionally, an expansion of the discussion on the clinical implications of the findings, especially in relation to rehabilitation programs.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asma Bashir
Department, University & Country	University of Lahore, Pakistan