

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_131343
Title of the Manuscript:	Traditional Knowledge and Ethnobotanical Insights on Wild Edibles in the Central Western Ghats of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Thank you for giving me the opportunity as a reviewer to review the manuscript for the journal. I hope in the future you will give me the same opportunity. I have reviewed the manuscript title “Traditional Knowledge and Ethnobotanical Insights on Wild Edibles in the Central Western Ghats of Karnataka ” thoroughly and the manuscript is well written and on the scope of the journal. I suggest that the manuscript is suitable to be published in this journal if the following suggestions and improvements could be made:	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not Suitable Traditional Knowledge and Ethnobotanical Insights on Wild Plants in the Central Western Ghats of Karnataka	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, but authors need to keep wild plants instead of wild edibles.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Authors need to include more references and compare their data with the most recent ones.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Authors need to include area map 2. Authors need to include detail on demographic information 3. Authors need to include more references because India is a vast country, and huge ethnobotanical work has been done there 4. From where do authors get IUCN data about these plants? Please include a separate section in the result.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shujat Ali
Department, University & Country	Pakistan