

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_131343
Title of the Manuscript:	Traditional Knowledge and Ethnobotanical Insights on Wild Edibles in the Central Western Ghats of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is an attempt to document the traditional and ethnobotanical knowledge in the Sirsi region of Uttara Kannada, Karnataka. It is only the accumulation of available literature and plants found in that region.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. there are no ethnobotanical insights discussed in any part of the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is quite informative as all the important point is covered	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are no references in the introduction part. As for the other parts of the manuscript it is okay	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is just another attempt to gather already available and published data. Authors have not done any new work in this manuscript. The issue is that all the information authors have provided is available. They have just compared it with already existing literature. The only thing this manuscript is adding to the scientific community is a list of available plants in the study area.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kavi K. Oza
Department, University & Country	The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, India