

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_131343
Title of the Manuscript:	Traditional Knowledge and Ethnobotanical Insights on Wild Edibles in the Central Western Ghats of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Knowledge on wild edible plants are important not only for the densely populated areas, but also because of increasing the chances of survival in case of emergency. Ethnobotanical study presents a significant information on this matter. Besides that, it sheds light on discovering new medicinal plants, which might lead to generating new medicaments.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, suitable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	6 references out of 13 are very recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	If the author arranged the plant names by alphabetical order, in Table 1, at 55 th cell in the second row, the species name: Bergera koenigii seems out of order. It falls between the letter M. Modifying its place would help reader to find the plants easily.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emil Shukurlu
Department, University & Country	Institute of Botany, Republic of Azerbaijan