

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_131052</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Empathy and satisfaction dynamics in Veterinary Medical Interviews: insights from companion animal practice in Kerala</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
	<b>Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	As it was stated in the article veterinary medical interviews are pivotal to companion animal care. These interviews directly influence the pet owner satisfaction and clinical outcomes. From this perspective, studies on veterinary medical interviews are important for the profession.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	No. It would be very useful for researchers if the abstract section included more numerical information.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Correct.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient and recent.	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Suitable, only some cosmetic corrections should be done. Generally, spaces between words.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>If some corrections are made and comments are added to the points mentioned, the study will be suitable for publication.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Some cosmetic corrections should be done in the article, generally, spaces between words.</li> <li>- It would be very useful for researchers if the abstract section included more numerical information.</li> <li>- It would be useful if a comprehensive information could be given regarding structured questionnaire.</li> <li>- It is written that the study was conducted in four districts with the highest canine population (DAH, 2012) viz., Thiruvananthapuram (1,43,168), Ernakulam (1,00,045), Kollam (87,055) and Thrissur (81,344).             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- What are the numbers in parentheses? Not clear</li> <li>2- What is (DAH, 2012)? If it is a reference, it is not written among references. Not clear.</li> </ul> </li> <li>- The study was conducted in an area where the number of dogs was high.Wouldn't the equal participation of cat owners increase the success rate of the study?</li> <li>- It is stated that the questionnaire was pretested among 15 participants.             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- How many of whom were vets and pet owners?</li> <li>2- Giving a brief information regarding the results of the pretest would be useful.</li> </ul> </li> <li>- How were 60 veterinarians selected and why was this statistical method used?</li> <li>- Wouldn't conducting the study with only 60 veterinarians decrease the chance of success? Shouldn't there be more veterinarians?</li> <li>- <b>Satisfaction of veterinarians with the veterinary medical interview</b> &gt;It is stated that the results was in sharp contrast to the study by Shaw et al.(2012). It would be useful if some commentscould be given regarding differences of the results between this one and the study by Shaw at al.</li> <li>- <b>Perception of veterinarians about their level of empathy</b>&gt;It is stated that the results of the present study were contrary to those reported by Gautam et al.(2024) It would be useful if some commentscould be given regarding differences of the results between this one and the study by Gautam et al.</li> <li>- <b>Association between level of veterinarian empathy and perception of owners the veterinary medical interview</b>&gt;It is stated that the results are contrary to the study of McArthur and Fitzgerald (2013). It would be useful if some commentscould be given regarding differences of the results between this one and the study of McArthur and Fitzgerald (2013).</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Canberk Balaban</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Istanbul University, Institute of Graduate Studies, Turkiye</b>