

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	ArchivesofCurrentResearchInternational
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_ACRI_130949
TitleoftheManuscript:	KingAbdelazizUniversityPreparatoryYearEngineeringStudents’ReluctancetoEnrollinNuclearEngineering:ReasonsandPossibleSolutions
TypeoftheArticle	

PART1:Comments

	Reviewer’scomment	Author’sFeedback(Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpart inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingtheimportance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	ThecurrentstudyinvestigatestheKAUprep.yearengineeringstudents’rejectancetojoinnuclearengineering.A questionnaire was designed to survey a sample of engineering students’ opinions about the reasons behind this reluctance.	
Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinthis section? Please write your suggestions here.	No.	
Isthemanuscriptscientifically,correct?Pleasewrite here.	Yes.	
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestionsofadditionalreferences,pleasemention them in the review form.	Yes.	

ReviewForm3

Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?	Inmyopinionasanon-nativeEnglishspeaker,theEnglishisofsufficientquality.Therearea few small grammatical mistakes that the authors could correct.	
Optional/Generalcomments	<p>The survey-based research project is generally clear, legible, and well-written. The paper, in myopinion, has several problems with the survey data analysis and parts of the content. There is a possibility that this work will be accepted, but first several important issues must be addressed. The authors must offer a rebuttal or a point-by-point answer.</p> <p>1. In accordance with journal rules, the authors should revise the abstract to omit any subsections like aim, sample, etc. The surveyresults must be quantified in the abstract section. Theabstract'sstructureneedstoberevisedinlightofthefollowing:</p> <p>i. the importance of the research</p> <p>ii. the primaryfindingofthe research</p> <p>2. Whatistheaimofthisstudy? Isthereanygaptheauthorsintendtofillintheliterature? What are the research objectives? What are the research questions?</p> <p>3. The authors should clearly explained the research methodology (combining qualitative and quantitative data collection techniques for a comprehensive understanding of the factors involved with statistical analysis)</p> <p>4. Theauthorhasjustreportedfiguresandscientific reasonsfortheobservationsarenotprovided.Thissectionis poor. More explanation is needed.</p> <p>5. Theauthors should report some ofthe limitations of this study.</p> <p>6. Theauthors shouldmention someapplicationsof this study.</p> <p>7. Themanuscriptmaybeconsideredforpublicationfollowinganynecessaryrevisionsor changes.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdus Sattar Mollah
Department, University & Country	Military Institute of Science and Technology, Bangladesh