

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130866
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of The Persistent Organic Compounds Residual Level of Telfaria occidentalis Hook F. Leaves (Ugu) Cultivated in The Federal Capital Territory, Abuja - Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Persistent Organic Pollutants are very persistent in the environment and accumulation in vegetables and bodies of consumers leads adverse impacts on human beings health. Many POPs are used as pesticides and in industrial chemicals. The authors nicely assessed the Persistent Organic Compounds Residual Level of <i>Telfaria occidentalis</i> Hook F. Leaves (Ugu) Cultivated in The Federal Capital Territory, Abuja – Nigeria and guided to the farmers about its uses.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensive . do not use abbreviation in abstract e.g. WHO/FAO/NESREA. The scientific name of species should be in italic font.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Correct. Keywords: Arrange keywords in alphabetical orders. It is better not to include keywords already available in the title of the manuscript. Introduction: The name of a species repeated multiple times in manuscript can be abbreviated second time e.g. first time write full name of plant species <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> and repeatedly can write as <i>T. occidentalis</i> ; Materials and methods: the number of sample replication.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient. Incomplete : Federal Capital Territory Administration Facts. <i>Retrieved in 2022</i> ; Miguel et al., 2022; United Nations World Urbanization Prospects (UNWUP). 2022 Refrences cited in the main text are not available in reference list. [Adeleye et al. 2019; Bamigboye et al. 2017; Dada et al. 2019; Ibrahim et al. 2018; Njoku et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2019]	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Shafiq
Department, University & Country	University of Karachi, Pakistan