

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130862
Title of the Manuscript:	Populism – a bane for India?
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Having been asked to review the article "Populism- a bane for India?", my hopes was to see a statistical breakdown of how the construct of populism has affected the country. The article begins with an abstract promising an examination of the economic and fiscal makeup of the country and growth as well as predictions of possible issues and trends. I do not believe the paper accomplished this task with any definitive evidence but rather was presented as an editorial for what the author(s) present as the doom of populism.</p> <p>The construct of populism is presented without definition of the construct or attempt to use past research to establish a commonly agreed upon explanation.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There were no citations within the narrative. 2. The author(s) present graphs and tables with little explanation and leave the reader on their own to interpret why it is even in there. 3. They use Argentina as a case study of where India is going without ever establishing how Argentina can even compare to India! The resources and population are not remotely correlated. <p>Ultimately, I know the editor will decide as to the appropriateness of this article for their journal. As a social scientist, I am used to reviewing articles that provide scientific proof of assertion through the scientific method. This paper is better suited as an editorial for an economics publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Elizabeth Reyes-Fournier</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Keiser University, Florida</p>