

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130862
Title of the Manuscript:	Populism – a bane for India?
Type of the Article	Journal Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The author(s) have made significant efforts to examine India's fiscal health/ status and the consequences of its fiscal operations on the economy.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Needs a little modification. I suggest that it should be: Populism and financial management – A bane for India.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The findings and recommendations of the study should be captured in the abstract.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The author(s) didn't do a literature review. Hence, the references are scanty. The literature review section should be included. This will also increase the number of references used.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article/ manuscript has too many charts (figures) with very little explanations for each chart. It is advisable to use the most appropriate charts that address the core issues and match them with elaborate explanations. I think the readers will find this more useful.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Andrew Aondohemba Chenge</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University Wukari, Nigeria</b>