

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130737
Title of the Manuscript:	Immediate Loading After Unsatisfactory Orthodontic Treatment: Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of immediate implant placement after extraction of mobile tooth due to orthodontic treatment lies in the preserving bone and soft tissues integrity. Immediate implantation helps maintain alveolar ridge dimensions, and preventing the collapse of hard and soft tissue.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	“Immediate implant loading after unsuccessful orthodontic treatment” This title would be more appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The English language quality needs refinement to improve the overall readability of the manuscript	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<b>Thank you, authors, for this interesting topic,</b> 1. The manuscript should discuss the potential causes of bone resorption after orthodontic treatment to provide more comprehensive understanding of underlying factors. 2. Please clarify the methodology for monitoring bone resorption in (13,11,21,22,23) to ensure accurate assessment and analysis. 3. Please specify the duration of tooth 12 mobility before extraction to provide clearer clinical context. 4. Please specific the duration of implant follow up and the measures taken to ensure there is no bone resorption over time. 5. Please specify the timing of the orthodontic treatment and the duration of tooth mobility after orthodontic removal and before tooth extraction. 6. Please clarify how the authors ensured that the tooth mobility was solely due to orthodontic appliance, and that no other systemic or local causes that contributed to the tooth mobility	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	To improve the manuscript, I recommend citing references from the last five years to ensure the literature review reflects the most current research	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language quality needs refinement to improve the overall readability of the manuscript	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Riyam Haleem
Department, University & Country	Al-Hadi University, Iraq